

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/832,340	04/10/2001	Ilya Schiller	11627-004001	9977	
26161 7	590 10/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER .	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			LE, BR	LE, BRIAN Q	
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/24/2000	DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/832,340	SCHILLER ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Brian Q. Le	2624	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	3S
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta eply received by the Office later than three months after the may ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ 3)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	·	erits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)□ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4-13 and 38-50 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-2, 4-13 and 38-50 is/are objected. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abeysection is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
	•			02 .
12)[/ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a least content Copies Copie	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment	(Ic)			
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 09/832,340 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

Response to Amendment and Arguments

1. Applicant's amendment filed September 05, 2006, has been entered and made of record.

2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 09/05/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-2, 4-5, and 7-10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Morishita et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,335,727.

Thus, the rejections of all of the claims are maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-5, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Yamakita, Tooru EP 0 865 192 and further in view of Morishita et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,335,727.

Regarding claim 1, Yamakita teaches a method comprising:

Receiving handwriting data (writing data on portable terminal) electronically from a remote user at a handwritten-information server (host device) (page 1, column 1), and

Processing the handwriting data in accordance with instructions provided to the server by the

user (page 1, column 2). However, Yamakita does not explicitly teach the receiving of handwritten-information data on a pen which the data comprise coordinate points. Morishita further teaches a handwriting recognition method further comprises the method of receiving handwritten-information which the data comprises coordinate points representing the handwriting motion (FIG. 5, element 12; FIG. 9, element 12; FIG. 8). Modifying Yamakita's method of processing handwriting according to would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use a pen to capture data on a pen wherein data comprise coordinate points representing the handwriting motion. This would improve processing so that handwriting information can be provided with high portability (column 4, lines 1-5) and therefore, it would have been obvious to

For claim 2, Yamakita further teaches the method which the handwriting data is generated using a handwriting device at the location of the remote user (portable terminal such as table for special pen/stylus) (page 1, column 1 and FIG. 2).

Regarding claim 4, Yamakita discloses method including performing handwriting recognition at the site of the remote user (page 1, column 2, first 2 lines).

one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Yamakita according to Morishita.

For claim 5, Yamakita teaches the method including performing handwriting recognition at the handwritten-information server (character recognition at personal computer/host device) (column 1, lines 33-38).

For claim 7, Yamakita teaches the method which the handwriting data includes information identifying a destination of the handwriting data (page 2, column 2, lines 30-39).

Referring to claim 8, Yamakita further teaches the method which the processing of the handwriting data includes forwarding it to a destination (page 2, column 2, lines 30-39).

Also to claim 9, Yamakita teaches the method which the forwarding comprises sending the handwriting data in FAX format (page 8, column 13, lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 10, Yamakita teaches the method which the forwarding comprises sending the handwriting data as an email attachment or in a body of an email (content of a email) (column 2, lines 40-50).

5. Claims 6, 12-13 and 38-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Yamakita, Tooru EP 0 865 192 and further in view of Morishita et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,335,727 as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Lee U.S. Patent No. 5,347,477.

For claim 6, Yamakita teaches the method of including the location of the remote user, forming an electronic file representing the handwritten information (column 1, lines13-17), and transmitting the electronically captured handwriting from the communication device to the handwritten-information server (page 1, column 1 and column 2). Yamakita does not explicitly teach wherein the pen can be electronic wireless pen. Lee further teaches a method processes handwriting wherein handwriting data is generated by an electronic wireless communication device (wireless pen) (column 3, lines 24-25 and FIG. 5). Modifying Yamakita's method of processing handwriting data according to Lee would able to provide a wireless pen in providing the wireless capability for the apparatus. This would improve processing and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Yamakita according to Lee

For claim 12, please refer back to claims 3 and 6 for the teaching of wireless communication. In addition, Yamakita teaches the concept of storing (computer) (page 2, column 1, line 30).

Referring to claim 13, please refer back to claims 3, 6 and 12 for the teaching of wireless communication. Furthermore, Yamakita teaches a method providing an interactive user interface on a screen of a mobile device to enable a user to control functions (commands) applied (page 7, column 11, lines 39-47) to the stored handwriting information (simple interface) (page 2, column 2, lines 40-45).

Regarding claim 38, Yamakita teaches the method in which providing the interactive user interface includes receiving input through one or more of a screen on the mobile device, a web browser, speech recognition, or touch-tone sequences (a fax has touch-tone sequences) (page 3, column 3, line 41).

For claim 39, Yamakita teaches the method in which receiving input includes receiving additional handwriting information (special pen provides additional handwriting information) (page 2, column 2, lines 45-55)

Referring to claim 40, Yamakita teaches the method in which storing includes converting the handwriting information to a character format (page 2, column 2, lines 35-38).

As to claim 41, Yamakita teaches the method in which the functions include retrieving the handwritten information (page 3, column 3, lines 3-7).

For claim 42, Yamakita teaches the method in which the functions include forwarding the handwritten information to another user (send by email) (page 3, column 3, line 41).

Referring to claim 43, Yamakita teaches the method in which the functions include making the handwritten information available on the Internet (page 4, column 6, lines 25-30).

For claim 44, Yamakita teaches the method in which the functions include perform computations on the handwritten information (handwritten image analysis) (page 8, column 13, lines 45-50).

Regarding claim 45, Yamakita teaches the method in which the functions include interpreting the handwritten information into computer-usable information (converting the handwriting information to a character format to be understood by computer) (page 2, column 2, lines 35-38).

For claim 46, Yamakita teaches the method in which interpreting the handwritten information includes extracting an address from the handwritten information (column 3, lines 33-38 and column 6, lines 55-58).

Regarding claim 47, Yamakita teaches the method in which interpreting the handwritten information extracting a phone number from the handwritten information (Yamakita provides the ability to extract image data; thus will be able to extract phone number if there is phone number contained in the image data) (column 6, lines 20-23).

For claim 48, Yamakita teaches the method in which interpreting the handwritten information includes extracting a task from the handwritten information (column 3, lines 33-38 and column 6, lines 55-58).

Regarding claim 49, please refer back to claim 6 for further teachings and explanations.

In addition, Tooru teaches processing the handwriting data represented by the file in accordance

with instructions provided to the server by the user (column 8, lines 25-30 and column 16, lines 31-37).

For claim 50, Tooru further teaches the method which the communication device comprises a user interface and is enabled to display a graphical representation of the handwriting motion data and to edit the handwriting motion data (column 12, lines 23-31).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Q. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7424. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M - 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on 571-272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BL October 19, 2006 PRIMARY EXAMINED